## 1. Introduction and Background

- 1.1. This appendix sets out the responses received to the community consultation on the draft Rother Health and Well-being: Leisure Facilities Strategy.
- 1.2. The online consultation is the second opportunity for the community and organisations to provide feedback on the Rother Health and Well-being: Leisure Facilities Strategy; the first was during the development of the strategy to guide the strategic priorities for the district.
- 1.3. The outcome of this report and the consultation analysis is to further inform the 10-year strategy; the next step is to form an action plan based on the agreed strategy and priorities.

## **Context for the Consultation**

- 1.4. It is important to highlight that this consultation has been undertaken during the UK's cost of living crisis, and also at a time when the cost of utilities has risen significantly. The impact of these cost increases, alongside recruitment issues, has had, and is continuing to have, massive impact on the leisure industry as a whole, making it necessary for difficult decisions to be made in order to continue providing some level of service. For example, in Rother district, Rye Swimming Pool has closed temporarily due to the rise in costs to heat the pool, however the sports centre facilities remain open.
- 1.5. Rother District Council is not the only local authority to be faced with increasing costs of providing leisure facilities; it is estimated that at least 50 other UK swimming pools will close in the next 12 months (UK Active Dec 2022). Sport England is offering some support to local authorities and their operators to explore ways of reducing energy costs, but as yet there is no specific financial support from central government.
- 1.6. This report and its supporting appendix reflecting the consultation responses are a 10 year+ strategy; the next steps will be to form an action plan based on the strategy agreed.

# 2. Summary of Consultation Approach

- 2.1. This second-stage consultation has been undertaken using an online survey. The survey is targeted at:
  - Residents and Rother visitors
  - Organisations e.g. NHS, schools, East Sussex County Council,
  - Chambers of Commerce
  - Town and Parish Councils
  - Sports Clubs
  - Third sector organisations

- 2.2. All schools, organisations and Town and Parish Councils were given advance warning of the survey i.e.4<sup>th</sup> November 2022 to give them time to organise how they would make a response.
- 2.3. The survey (just over 5 weeks 11<sup>th</sup> November 2022 20<sup>th</sup> December 2022) has been promoted on the Council's website, through email alerts to the RDC Consultation panel and through other social media channels. Reminders have been sent on a regular basis on social media and 'My Alerts'.
- 2.4. As of 20<sup>th</sup> December 2023, the responses received comprise:

Yourself as a Rother resident	634	95%
Yourself, visit or work but don't live in Rother	10	1.5%
Town or parish council	7	1%
Voluntary or charity group or organisation	2	0.3%
Sports club	10	1.5%
Leisure business or facility	0	0%
Business or business group (other than leisure)	4	0.6%
Total	667	100%

#### 2.5. In addition, the following emails have been received:

- 14 residents
- 2 sports clubs
- 1 parish or town councils
- 1 other public organisation
- 2.6. These emails, **plus** the online responses detailed above give a total of 685 responses.
- 2.7. Analysis of the responses is summarised below:

## **Residents & Visitors**

- 2.8. Of the responses received the split between male and female is:
  - Male 37.5%
  - Female 62.5%
- 2.9. The age of the respondents is shown below:
  - 18-24-1%
  - 25-34 3%
  - 35-44 10%
  - 45-54 19%
  - 55-64 26%
  - 65-74 27%
  - 75+ 12%
  - 85 and over 1%
- 2.10. 20% of the respondents state they are registered with a disability.
- 2.11. The responses received to date are from a range of ethnic backgrounds as summarised below:
  - White British 92.6%
  - White Other 5%
  - Mixed Asian & White 0.5%
  - Mixed Black & White 0%
  - Other mixed -0.7%
  - Asian Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi 0.7%
  - Asian Other including Chinese 0.3%
  - Black African, Carib, British 0.3%
  - Black Other 0.2%

2.12. The responses received are from the following geographical areas in the district:

- Bexhill 45%
- Battle 7%
- Rye 15%
- Villages 28%
- Hastings/St Leonards 2%
- Kent 1%
- Other East Sussex 2%

2.13. The majority (68%) of respondents are leisure facility users. 32% of respondents are not leisure facility users.

# 3. Consultation Feedback

- 3.1. The overall responses from the consultation to the five areas for comment highlight the following:
  - Vision Agree or agree strongly 84% of responses
  - **Principles to Vision** Agree or agree strongly 83% of responses
  - Aim Agree or agree strongly 88% of responses
  - **Objectives** Agree or agree strongly 82% of responses
  - Town and parish councils as important partners Agree or agree strongly 59% of responses
- 3.2. This feedback confirms that the majority of the 685 respondents agree with the strategy Vision, its Principles and Aim.
- 3.3. The vast majority of the 685 responses also agree with the Strategy objectives.
- 3.4. Over half of those responding agree that town and parish councils are important partners in the provision of leisure facilities to the community and seeking partnership opportunities, but the level of support for this is significantly lower at 59% than that for the other strategy areas highlighted for comment.
- 3.5. Although there is significant support for the strategy's 5 key areas as summarised above, there have also been comments and feedback which are less supportive and/or raise specific issues/queries. These are assessed below on a question-by-question basis and illustrate the breadth of responses received overall.

#### 3.6. Each response is assessed to:

- identify if it is already covered in the strategy and if so, where in the document,
- provide additional detail around the specific comment made

#### 3.7. Strategy Vision – 84% agree or strongly agree with the Vision as stated

3.8. Comments from those that disagree with the Vision are analysed in Table 1.

#### Table 1 – Analysis of comments disagreeing with the Strategy Vision

*Comment		
Comment	If already covered in Strategy	If not covered in Strategy – rationale/other factors
Rye Pool proves partnership doesn't work well.	Mentioned in the Strategy as an RDC asset	The closure of Rye pool is a result of increased utility costs not the partnership failing. All leisure operators are struggling to afford rising operational costs.
Opposed to closure of Bexhill Leisure Centre.	The Strategy does not state the leisure centre will close although it is noted that the buildings are ageing, and decisions will need to be made as to their future This is why the strategy is important to form an action plan	
Sustainability and relevance, empty skateparks.	Strategy references sustainability of provision based on need.	
Council should 100% control health and leisure facilities not left to mercy of outside influences.	NA	Provision of leisure facilities and services is not a mandatory requirement of local authorities in England, it is a discretionary service.
Act to stop Freedom Leisure closing pool/gym, no regard for customers or staff, needs new management.	NA	The closure of Rye pool is a result of increased utility costs not the partnership failing. All leisure operators are struggling to afford rising operational costs.
Widened beyond Bexhill (Rye pool).	Provision of swimming facilities across the District is covered in the supply and demand analysis underpinning the Strategy.	NA

# Appendix A

# Rother Health and Well-being: Leisure Facilities Strategy Consultation Report

NA	The closure of Pve peel is a result of increased utility costs pet th
NA	The closure of Rye pool is a result of increased utility costs not th partnership failing. All leisure operators are struggling to afford risin operational costs.
NA	Not all physical activity can be done at home e.g., not everyone has swimming pool. The social interaction benefits of being active togethe are also important for physical and mental health and wellbeing.
NA	The scope of this Strategy is physical activity, leisure, health and wel being.
The need for maintenance of existing leisure facilities is covered in the strategy analysis.	NA
ΝΑ	The closure of Rye pool is a result of increased utility costs not th partnership failing. All leisure operators are struggling to afford risin operational costs.
NA	All research questions were discussed, agreed and signed off by RD and based on what facilities people would like to have access to
NA	The closure of Rye pool is a result of increased utility costs not th partnership failing. All leisure operators are struggling to afford risin operational costs.
NA	The closure of Rye pool is a result of increased utility costs not the partnership failing. All leisure operators are struggling to afford risin
	NA The need for maintenance of existing leisure facilities is covered in the strategy analysis. NA NA NA NA

#### 3.9. Principles underpinning Vision – 83% agree or strongly agree with the Principles as stated

## 3.10. **Comments** from those that disagree with the Principles are analysed in Table 2.

#### Table 2 – Analysis of comments disagreeing with the Strategy Principles

*Comment	Response	
Comment	If already covered in Strategy	If not covered in Strategy – rationale/other factors
Rye Pool – multiple times, similar to above	NA	The closure of Rye pool is a result of increased utility costs not the partnership failing. All leisure operators are struggling to afford rising operational costs.
Where is the consultation and opinion of Bexhill Town Council, do they approve? Not enough infrastructure in place to cope with new builds	NA	Although invited to feedback, along with all town and parish councils, none was received from Bexhill Town Council to either consultation opportunity.
Only applies Bexhill, no consideration eastern Rother	The Strategy covers the whole district; the analysis maps all provision, reflects consultation with neighbouring local authorities and assesses catchment areas.	NA
Carbon neutral add pressure during austerity, add deficit to council taxes, apply for lottery & govt grants	NA	<ul><li>There is no specific central government funding to support the leisure industry at present. It is not clear if Levelling Up Funding will be extended to Round 3. Towns Funding is now closed.</li><li>Sport England will have a new fund in place in 2023.</li><li>Provision of leisure facilities and services is a discretionary element of local authority services i.e., LA's do not have to provide it.</li></ul>
Proposed cycle lane ridiculous, tick box, parking bag (bay?), dangerous outside schools.	Provision of safe active travel and recreational cycling and walking routes is important to enable more people to get active on a regular basis.	NA
Stop asking, just do. Mend and repair what we have. Brighten Bexhill.	Need for investment and maintenance of provision is mentioned in the Strategy.	NA
Actions speak louds than words.	NA	Not sure what this refers to
No cycle lanes, waste of money for minority.	Provision of safe active travel and recreational cycling and walking routes is important to enable more people to get active on a regular basis.	NA

# Appendix A

# Rother Health and Well-being: Leisure Facilities Strategy Consultation Report

*0.0	Response		
*Comment	If already covered in Strategy	If not covered in Strategy – rationale/other factors	
Word fob off the public, stop it, be clear and concise, simple and understandable, 'place-based provision' terrible English and many clueless, nothing is 'sustainable' or 'carbon neutral', etc. etc.	The Strategy reflects the priorities at local level and is aligned to national priorities to ensure RDC can consider relevant funding opportunities.	NA	
No changes necessary	NA	No response needed.	
Can't maintain rubbish bins properly, don't want more polluters in residential areas, can't maintain potholes properly, ideas farcical.	NA	The scope of this Strategy is physical activity, leisure, health and we being.	
Too much emphasis on provision, not enough on funding, no mention of local independently funded clubs, needs to be inclusive of all, more to wellbeing than sports facilities – lunch, chess, cards, modellers, mental health, etc.	have responded to this consultation and their comments are reflected in	There is no specific central government funding to support the leisu industry at present. It is not clear if Levelling Up Funding will be extended to Round 3. Towns Funding is now closed. Sport England will have a new fund in place in 2023. Provision of leisure facilities and services is a discretionary eleme of local authority services i.e., they do not have to provide it.	

\*comments reproduced as written

### 3.11. Strategy Aim – 88% either agree or strongly agree with the Aim as stated

3.12. Comments from those that disagree with the Aim are analysed in Table 3.

Table 3 – Analysis of comments disagreeing with the Strategy Aim

*Commont	Response		
*Comment	If already covered	If not covered – rationale/other factors	
Rye pool should be open, re-open, etc.	The Strategy sets out the need for additional swimming provision in the District.	The closure of Rye pool is a result of increased utility costs not the partnership failing. All leisure operators are struggling to afford rising operational costs.	
Don't want aims, want action	NA	Not sure what this refers to	
Not in some cases, in all cases, when partnerships do not work	The Strategy highlights important partnerships moving forward.	NA	
Same language over and over again changes nothing	The Strategy reflects the priorities at local level and is aligned to national priorities to ensure RDC can consider relevant funding opportunities.	NA	
Only care about Bexhill	The Strategy covers the whole district; the analysis maps all provision, reflects consultation with neighbouring local authorities and assesses catchment areas.		
Now adding to my carbon footprint to use a pool to help with health	NA	The closure of Rye pool is a result of increased utility costs not the partnership failing. All leisure operators are struggling to afford rising operational costs.	
Should be liaising more with the town council	This is reflected in the Strategy through the recommendation of partnership with town and parish councils.	NA	
Strategy is limiting, facilities must accommodate those activity limited, but want to be community participative, otherwise facilities are exclusive not inclusive, helps ensure quality of life for all.	There is disability access at existing facilities. There is opportunity to improve this, and any new facilities would need to include a Changing Places facility (changing and shower room) and be inclusive to meet today's standards.	NA	
*comments reproduced as written			

## 3.13. Objectives – 82% either agree or strongly agree with the Objectives as stated

3.14. Comments from those that disagree with the Aim are analysed in Table 4.

Table 4 – Analysis of comments dis	sagreeing with the Strategy	Objectives as stated

*Comment	Response		
Comment	If already covered	If not covered – rationale/other factors	
Rye swimming pool, gym, loss of classes, depriving rural residents, first priority should be to offer provision and maintain it, collaboration with Freedom Leisure, no reliable public transport around Rye so alternatives are offering nothing at all, take over and deliver, closure completely contradicts strategy,	NA	The closure of Rye pool is a result of increased utility costs not the partnership failing. All leisure operators are struggling to afford rising operational costs. Provision of public transport is not covered by this Strategy.	
If people want this sort of thing, they should pay individually for it. Does anyone really understand what carbon neutral means?	Strategy references sustainability of provision based on need. Older leisure facilities have a negative impact on carbon neutrality; modern facilities, with in-built greener energy systems can contribute significantly to achievement of local authority carbon neutral targets.	NA	
Irrelevant to what needs doing	NA	The scope of this Strategy is physical activity, leisure, health and well- being.	
Consultation waste of money, public relations exercise	NA	The scope of this Strategy is physical activity, leisure, health and well- being.	
RDC should be stakeholders in all private sector development otherwise dilution of accessibility will occur, emphasis on local provided smaller facilities managed by volunteers but RDC does maintenance, office facilities, admin fees, advice.	The Strategy emphasises the point that there is a need to balance private and public provision and that they should complement each other.	RDC would determine all planning applications for any new facilities, public or private.	
*comments reproduced as written			

## 3.15. Role of town and parish councils as important partners – 59% agree or strongly agree

Table 5 – Analysis of comments disagreeing with the role of town and parish councils as stated

*Comment	Response	
oonment	If already covered	If not covered – rationale/other factors
Bexhill TC only work to things that suit them, they don't list or help, own agendas, will not deviate, omit things from minutes, no trust.	NA	The scope of this Strategy is physical activity, leisure, health and well- being.
You don't intend to give them any powers anyway.	NA	Town and Parish Councils already have the power to provide leisure facilities.
Rye Pool	NA	The closure of Rye pool is a result of increased utility costs not the partnership failing. All leisure operators are struggling to afford rising operational costs. Provision of public transport is not covered by this Strategy.
Provision of international quality facilities should be managed by central govt, Sports Council, private enterprise. Local councils only requirements provide land sites and planning consents.	NA	Town and Parish Councils already have the power to provide leisure facilities. There is no central government funding for leisure provision. The exception is if there is a national government decision to bid for a major event e.g., the Olympics or Commonwealth Games. In these circumstances, there is central funding allocated for investment.
Slow and can't make decisions.	NA	The scope of this Strategy is physical activity, leisure, health and well- being.
Another layer of bureaucracy, costs	NA	The scope of this Strategy is physical activity, leisure, health and well- being.
Mostly rubbish, ideas proposed by some parish councils a disgrace	NA	Not sure what this refers to.
All corrupt	NA	The scope of this Strategy is physical activity, leisure, health and well- being.

# Appendix A

## Rother Health and Well-being: Leisure Facilities Strategy Consultation Report

10	Response	
*Comment	If already covered	If not covered – rationale/other factors
Would be ideal if they were funded appropriately.	NA	There is no specific central government funding to support the leisure industry at present. It is not clear if Levelling Up Funding will be extended to Round 3. Towns Funding is now closed. Sport England will have a new fund in place in 2023. Provision of leisure facilities and services is a discretionary element of local authority services i.e., they do not have to provide it.
Large sums of money given to small population of area mostly middle/well off people	NA	The scope of this Strategy is physical activity, leisure, health and well being.

## Swimming provision

3.16. There was no specific question in the survey asking about swimming provision. However, references to Rye Pool come up frequently across all responses. Also mentioned a lot is that there appears to be a focus on Bexhill.

# 4. Comments on anything missing from the Strategy?

- 4.1. There was some feedback on what respondents perceive as 'gaps' in the Strategy. These comments are available separately, but essentially cover:
  - Rye Pool
  - Costs and funding
  - Parking
  - Transport, public transport and the need to improve it
  - Pollution
  - Disabled access to outside facilities; need for disability input to Strategy (there was the opportunity for this during the initial public consultation)
  - Need for financial support from RDC for clubs
  - Need to recognise local clubs (all clubs were given the opportunity to respond to consultation; many did not respond)
  - Better use of footpaths
  - Inclusivity and accessibility
  - Community centres

OSC230123 - Draft Leisure Facilities Strategy

- Sustainability and the need to be carbon neutral
- Need for new, larger Bexhill facility, support for more swimming, much promised never delivered
- Bexhill focussed over rural/Rye
- States older, aging population but where is provision
- Need to access s106 funding
- Needs better, more, local research
- Needs more responses from more local clubs, groups that support those with disabilities
- Nothing about running, NHS initiatives, nutrition, running tracks, support for disabilities, mental health (health & well-being in title), Active Rother promotion, the sea/beach/seafronts water-based activities,
- Expensive to use facilities, income barriers,
- Not specific enough language: no action plan, no timescales, no measurable goals
- Private sector partnership schemes
- Bike provision, cycle paths, joined up routes, poor maintenance
- Improving grass pitches,
- An objective on developing elite facilities, outdoor sports, destination provision, etc.
- Need for support for clubs and the volunteers who run them
- Equality of opportunity and inclusivity
- Improved signage
- Importance of swimming for older people
- Other opportunities for partnership with Energise Sussex Coast and Energise South

# 5. Other Comments

5.1. Anything else you want to tell us about the strategy, that the council should take into account, that you strongly support or new information?

## \*Town and parish councils

- Developers could be encouraged to provide finances for improved facilities within 106 or instead of affordable housing on difficult sites
- Sedlescombe Parish Council supports the strategy and was pleased to see that residents who may struggle to access facilities will be helped. The Parish Council was also pleased to see that the current climate emergency was included by way of ensuring that new provisions are required to be eco-friendly. It would be good to extend this strategy to encourage changes to existing infrastructure for example through switching to solar energy, encouraging living roofs (where achievable) etc.
- All Strategies require an Action Plan. This is a laudable Strategy and should be supported.

#### \*comments reproduced as written

## \*Sports clubs and leisure sector

- As Chair of Westfield Cricket Club, I am surprised at the response, or lack of response from WPC given they condemned our old pavilion about 3 years ago and know that we are struggling to fund a new pavilion.
- Hastings Seagull SC strongly support the aim to increase water space for all ages and abilities. We will send a separate email regarding developing swimming in the area.
- Hastings Seagull Swimming Club welcome the recommendations contained in the Rother District Council Health and Wellbeing: Leisure Facilities Strategy 2023 – 2033 regarding future provision for swimming. It is accepted that any new Leisure Provider will look to run some Schools swimming and Learn to Swim sessions but, to take swimmers forward within the sport (swimming, polo, artistic swimming) will probably require expertise from the existing clubs. Whilst Learn to Swim is primarily for children, the actual sport is for all ages – our oldest Masters Swimmer (also a polo player) is 83! As mentioned previously, Hastings Seagull SC would be pleased to work with the District Council and Leisure Provider(s) in maximising the uptake, and hence pool usage, of the current and any proposed swimming pools throughout the District.
- As a major provider of Table Tennis in Rother I was disappointed that the council didn't recognise my club, Table Tennis Rother, which has capacity to build a strong base for the sport. Clubs need to be recognised as part of the strategy, particularly those from minority sports which have much to offer.
- Rye Bowls Club has made a separate, supplementary, written submission to Rother District Council (RDC), in support of the club's continued existence and the need for financial support from RDC. This has been necessary as we feel that the RDC questionnaire doesn't give sufficient opportunities to highlight RBC's situation and needs, should the suggestion of withdrawal of financial support arise, in the review of the strategy.
- Strategy is good but when providing for wheelchair users ask a wheelchair user for their views and experience
- Make sure that existing providers of leisure (e.g., sports clubs) are supported. There is a temptation to invest heavily in leisure that is individual in nature. That is important, but it's worth remembering that sports club provide positive mental health through fellowship/camaraderie/sense of belonging and that their role should not be side-lined or assumed to be always able to exist without support.
- The Cricket club is also dependent on the Rye Sports Centre for winter coaching. Our adults in 2023 are going to Claremont school at Bodiam as the Rye nets are not fit for purpose. The equipment has been there under-invested since 1987. I know because I was at the opening. Our colts remain there only because of transport issues.

#### \*comments reproduced as written

## \*Other organisations

 I strongly support: The notion of neighbouring council's working together due to the understanding that people do cross borders to access their leisure provision within the context of still providing local services for those less likely/able to travel. The important of teaching space for swimming lessons due to the coastal nature of the county The importance of physical activity, sports & leisure provision related to health and wellbeing - both physical and mental The notion of also utilising green and open spaces for leisure opportunities particularly informal/unstructured physical activity although this should also be incorporated into built facilities too.

- rural footpaths are massively underused. access to our beautiful countryside should not be confined to the well off and has huge well-being benefits.
- I agree that a better lane pool is needed. I agree that better sign posting is needed. However, there are many more "cheap" options available to get people active. I mentioned basketball nets being added to unused locations. New styles and signposts for walks Better signage for cycle routes More cycle routes in general.
- This "strategy" is nonsense. You have committed to nothing, suggested nothing, just a lot of hot air about carbon neutral and put it near public transport. Unless there is significant investment in public transport there will be none!

#### \*comments reproduced as written

### \*Comments from remaining organisations (charity, business, etc.)

- A new focus should be focused on Rye Swimming pool and servicing the rural communities of Rother. Further clarification should be given to local people as to the stages of developments at the new Bexhill Leisure centre.
- I think it would be important to reference the East Sussex Healthy Weight Strategy which specifically refers to leisure facilities in the physical activity priority area. This provides added weight specifically to the importance of leisure facilities in the context of health and wellbeing.
- There are not enough Outdoor basketball courts in Rother. Sedlescombe tennis court could have nets. Whatlington council car park could have a net. There are no Pavements in Whatlington. The speed limit on Whatlington road should be 30 not 40 Horses and cyclists are at risk as there are many unsighted bends.
- An actual plan and commitment to giving us the promised swimming pool and leisure centre. You had a large sum from M+S, and have wasted a lot on this 'strategy' that leads nowhere
- We believe that the strategy should include reference to providing leisure and wellbeing opportunities for unpaid carers as a seldom heard group.

#### \*comments reproduced as written

#### \*NHS Sussex

NHS Sussex responded to the Strategy and is supportive of its Vision, Outcomes and Principles. NHS Sussex specifically identifies the following points: Tackling health inequalities is a key priority for the NHS and we welcome the strategy's recognition of the importance of this, together with the collaborative approach to working with partners.

We would welcome the opportunity to work in partnership with RDC and other key stakeholders to support the implementation of the strategy. With this in mind, our feedback is focussed on planning for implementation as follows:

• The strategy mentions production of a delivery plan: it would be helpful to understand the timescales for this and to explore opportunities for NHS Sussex and other stakeholders to support the development of this.

- It will be important to ensure that future design and provision maximises opportunities for inclusivity and creates opportunities which pays attention to a range of important factors such as the beliefs of the local population, accessibility for people living within our most deprived communities, people with disabilities and from minority ethnic groups. It would be helpful to understand any plans you may have as part of this.
- NHS Sussex is keen to understand the governance arrangements for the strategy and delivery plan and the role of the LSP as part of this in supporting the partnership working aspirations.
- NHS Sussex recommends that any new facilities work with appropriate partners to consider green transport plans to support access.
   NHS Sussex would be pleased to discuss how they can work increasingly together with RDC on schemes such as exercise on prescription.
   Additionally, in terms of how the strategy informs decision making about leisure facilities in Rother, where this may include any future contracting of facilities, NHS Sussex would be happy to contribute to the development of any service specifications. Further to this, we would welcome the opportunity to explore any potential sharing of facilities to support provision of healthcare services.

\*comments reproduced as written

# 6. Summary recommendations for the Strategy based on feedback

- 6.1. This report and its supporting appendix reflecting the consultation responses are a 10 year+ strategy; the next steps will be to form an action plan based on the strategy agreed.
- 6.2. Based on the feedback received and summarised above, it is recommended that the following points are added to the Strategy:
  - Club analysis and survey from the wider Rother and Hastings strategy work (Continuum)
  - Local clubs and the volunteer sector are recognised
  - More emphasis is placed on accessibility, relationship with public transport and the rural areas
  - Funding and potential sources e.g., s106
  - Reference to the role of footpaths
  - Reference the potential of existing cycling routes
  - Reference the playing pitch strategy work across Rother and Hastings (Continuum) to highlight opportunities for e.g. basketball nets and increased use of outdoor facilities
  - The planned Action Plan is developed, reflecting the recommendations as stated, but taking into account inclusivity, accessibility, extended partnership working and sustainability. The Action Plan should also consider funding sources